



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,495	11/27/2001	Doug Rollins	M4065.0486/P486	8165
24998	7590	03/28/2008	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			GELAGAY, SHEWAYE	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2137	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/28/2008			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Advisory Action</i> <i>Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</i>	Application No. 09/993,495	Applicant(s) ROLLINS, DOUG
	Examiner SHEWAYE GELAGAY	Art Unit 2137

–The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because:

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant argued that Serceki is essentially a method of transferring information between two computers system that are not physically connected by using a storage device as conduit between the two systems, e.g., a "wireless" and a "wired portion of a network." Thus, in the invention Serceki, a storage device is used to transfer data, e.g., configuration information or security keys, between the two systems. The examiner would like to point out that Serceki teaches a device with a memory for distributing security keys for encryption system whose purpose is to secure communication in a wireless network. In addition, Serceki further discloses a user is provided with a network device for physically exchanging encryption keys in a wireless network and network administrators create the device. (Abstract; page 1, paragraph 8, page 3, paragraph 32) Furthermore, Serceki teaches the network device can begin downloading updated keys at a company that may have several stations located throughout the office space. (page 4, paragraphs 41-45) which is adequate to meet all the claimed limitations except "accessing said new encryption key on said network communication during an encrypted communication".

The applicant argued that Linkola fails to disclose "accessing said new encryption key on said network communication during an encrypted communication", and also fails to disclose an "encryption key on said network device." Linkola teaches an apparatus that can be used to readily change the data in SIM and thereby implement flexible maintenance and control of the module. Linkola teaches a SIM which contains an identity code and a secret key and the secret key is the subscriber's secret key used for encryption of radio communication and for authentication of the mobile station. (col. 2, lines 1-63; col. 5, lines 10-17) Therefore, Linkola teaches accessing encryption key (i.e. secret key) on said network communication (i.e. SIM) during an encrypted communication (i.e. encryption of radio communication and authentication of the mobile station). Linkola further teaches that an apparatus that can be used to readily change the data in the subscriber identity module card ... the on the first subscriber identity module is changed to the data of the second subscriber identity module. (col. 1, lines 1-63)

In response to applicant's arguments against the references, individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references